UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/812,423  | 03/29/2004                          | Hugh A. Reilly       | 24357E USA          | 1279             |
|   | 7590 08/15/2007<br>DT & LECHNER LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1101 Market Street                                |                                     |                      | DONNELLY, JEROME W  |                  |
| 2600 Aramark Tower<br>Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950 |                                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 1 /   |                                     |                      | 3764                |                  |
|   |                                     |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                     |                      | 08/15/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Н  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|  | 10/812,423   | REILLY, HUGH A.  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|  | Jerome W. Donnelly   | 3764   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |  | ·  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Example.  | action is non-final.<br>ice except for formal matters, pro   |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | on nom consideration.  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No Id in this National Stage  |  |
| •  |  | 0  |  |
|  |  | IFPOME DOWN  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa  | te   |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/812,423

Art Unit: 3764

After a review of the pending claims in the application the examiner has determined that a restriction requirement between the method of practicing a golf swing using an elongated rod should be restricted from a method of stretching as indicated below.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 18-30, 35, 36, 38 and 40-42, drawn to a method of improving a golf swing, classified in class 473, subclass 409 and 131.
- II. Claim 31, drawn to a method of stretching a neck, classified in class 482, subclass 907.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY PRIMARY EXAMINER